# FULL SIGNATORY AUTHORITY WORKSHEET

EXAMINER: Helms, L

DATE: 30 May 2003

**ACTION(S) REVIEWED** 

Nonfinal Rej



SERIAL NUMBER: 09754998

#### NOTES:

- 1. IF A CLEAR ERROR COULD BE CHARGED UNDER TWO OR MORE OF: PATENTABILITY DETERMINATION; ACTION TAKING; OR PATENT EXAMINING FUNCTIONS, IT IS ONLY CHARGEABLE ONCE, UNDER THE FIRST APPROPRIATE LISTED CATEGORY.
- 2. IN A GIVEN OFFICE ACTION IT IS POSSIBLE TO HAVE TWO OR THREE CLEAR ERRORS, IF THEY ARE BASED ON UNRELATED(DIFFERENT ERRORS), AND ARE CHARGED IN DIFFERENT CATEGORIES.
- 3. ONLY ONE PATENTABILITY DETERMINATION AND/OR ACTION TAKING AND/OR PATENT EXAMINING FUNCTIONS ERROR IS CHARGEABLE AGAINST AN EXAMINER IN A SINGLE OFFICE ACTION.
- 4. IN AN APPLICATION WHERE MORE THAN ONE OFFICE ACTION IS BEING REVIEWED IT IS POSSIBLE TO HAVE A PATENTABILITY DETERMINATION AND/OR AN ACTION TAKING AND/OR PATENT EXAMINING FUNCTIONS ERROR IN EACH OFFICE ACTION.
- 5. IF THE EXAMINER HAS REPEATED THE SAME ERROR IN TWO OFFICE ACTIONS IN AN APPLICATION IN THE REVIEW PERIOD, TWO ERRORS SHOULD E CHARGED AGAINST THE EXAMINER.

Abbreviations: Office Action = OA

Not Applicable = N/A

#### PATENTABILITY DETERMINATION

A. MAJOR ACTIVITIES		YES	NO	COMMENTS	
а.	ALL CLAIMS PATENTABLE (UNDER 35 USC 102 & 103), OVER ALL ART OF RECORD	v			
b.	ARE ALL CLAIMS PATENTABLE (UNDER 35 USC 102 & 103) OVER ALL ART WHICH IS NOT OF RECORD BUT SHOULD BE	হ			
c.	ARE ALL CLAIMS PATENTABLE UNDER ALL OTHER PERTINENT SECTIONS OF THE STATUTE (e.g., 101, 102, 103, 112, 251, etc.)	ত			
d.	ARE ALL CLAIMS PATENTABLE UNDER ALL NONSTATUTORY REJECTIONS (e.g., OBVIOUSNESS TYPE DOUBLE PATENTING)				
B. IS THERE A "CLEAR ERROR" COMMITTED					
C. SUPPORTING EXPLANATION					

## **ACTION TAKING**

A. MAJOR ACTIVITIES		YES	NO	COMMENTS	
a.	DO ACTIONS INCLUDE ALL REASONABLE REJECTIONS, (MPEP 7.07(g)	NG.			
b.	WERE ANY UNREASONABLE REJECTIONS MADE				
c.	WERE ANY UNREASONABLE FORMAL REQUIREMENTS MADE		<b>S</b>		
d.	DID THE EXAMINER TAKE ANY ARBITRARY OR CAPRICIOUS ACTION		হ		
e.	IS THE RECORD, TAKEN AS A WHOLE, REASONABLY CLEAR AND COMPLETE, INCLUDING REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE WHERE NECESSARY				
f.	DO ACTIONS PROPERLY TREAT ALL MATTERS OF SUBSTANCE IN APPLICANT'S RESPONSE, INCLUDING AFFIDAVITS/DECLARATIONS, IF ANY	V			
B. IS THERE A "CLEAR ERROR" COMMITTED					
C. SUPPORTING EXPLANATION					

### **PATENT EXAMINATION FUNCTIONS**

<u>A. V</u>	VERE THESE FUNCTIONS PROPERLY PERFORMED	YES	NO	COMMENTS		
1a.	CHECKING APPLICATIONS FOR FORMAL MATTERS	Image: section of the				
1b.	CHECKING APPLICATION FOR TECHNOLOGICAL ACCURACY	[P]				
2.	TREATING DISCLOSURE STATEMENTS & STATEMENTS AND CLAIMS FOR PRIORITY	হ				
3.	ANALYZING DISCLOSURE AND CLAIMS FOR COMPLIANCE TO 35 USC 112	হ				
4.	PLANNING FIELD OF SEARCH	ত				
5.	CONDUCTING SEARCH	Image: section of the				
6.	FORMULATING REJECTIONS UNDER 102/103 OR DETERMINING HOW CLAIMS DISTINGUISH OVER PRIOR ART	[2]				
7.	DETERMINING WHETHER AMENDMENT INTRODUCES NEW MATTER	[ত্				
8.	DETERMINING WHETHER RESTRICTION IS PROPER	ত				
9.	DETERMINING OPERABILITY/UTILITY	ত				
10.	EVALUATING/APPLYING CASE LAW	ত				
11.	EVALUATING SUFFICIENCY OF AFFIDAVITS/DECLARATIONS	Ø				
12.	DETERMINING WHETHER APPROPRIATE LINE OF PATENTABLE DISTINCTION MAINTAINED BETWEEN APPLICATIONS &/OR PATENTS	Image: section of the content of the				
13.	EVALUATING SUFFICIENCY OF REISSUE OATH/DECLARATION	[2]				
14.	EVALUATING APPROPRIATENESS OF GROUNDS FOR REEXAMINATION	Į.				
B. IS THERE A "CLEAR ERROR" COMMITTED						
<u>c. s</u>	C. SUPPORTING EXPLANATION					

Suggest examiner use FP 7.103 in second and subsequent nonfinals. OA indicates that there are some new rejections. While clear as to what are the new rejections, "some" usually indicates that there are more rejections than what are shown. Suggest that the terminology should, e.g., be "The following are new grounds of rejection"